**Application No.: 10/752,664** 

## **IN THE DRAWINGS**

The attached replacement drawing sheet, which includes Figs. 1, 2A and 2B, replaces the original sheet including Figs. 1 and 2. Fig. 2 has been relabeled as Figs. 2A and 2B to correspondingly identify the two circuit diagrams of the FET switches illustrated therein.

**Application No.: 10/752,664** 

## REMARKS

Applicant and Applicant's representative would like to thank Examiner Lam for the indication of allowable subject matter recited by claims 16-23. In response to the Office Action dated January 19, 2005, Applicants have amended the two circuit diagrams illustrated in Fig. 2 as Figs. 2A and 2B so as to be consistent with the specification. Applicants have also amended the specification because Fig. 1 shows that the bias voltage Vb1 is set to a ground potential. Additionally, Applicants have rewritten claims 16 and 19 into independent format so as to incorporate the claimed subject matter recited by claim 1, and claims 1 and 16, respectively. Claims 1-15 are canceled, without prejudice or disclaimer, rendering the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102 thereto moot. No new matter has been added.

Furthermore, Applicants note that an IDS was filed on March 31, 2005 and April 8, 2005, after the issuance of the pending Office Action. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the foregoing documents be expressly considered during the prosecution of this application, and that the documents be made of record therein. Applicants respectfully request that the PTO-1449 form submitted with each IDS be initialed and returned to the Applicants so as to confirm that each IDS was considered.

Moreover, with respect to claim 24, this claim is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Specifically, it is asserted that the claim recitations "the third bias voltage and "the fourth bias voltage" lack antecedent basis. In response, Applicants have amended the claim dependency of claim 24 to depend on claim 22. Accordingly, it is presumed that the pending rejection to claim 24 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, is overcome in view of the foregoing amendment. Applicants respectfully submit that the instant application is now in condition for allowance.

**Application No.: 10/752,664** 

If there are any outstanding issues that might be resolved by an interview or an Examiner's amendment, the Examiner is requested to call Applicants' attorney at the telephone number shown below.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 500417 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

Please recognize our Customer No. 20277

as our correspondence address.

Registration No. 36,139

600 13<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096 Phone: 202.756.8000 MEF/AHC

Facsimile: 202.756.8087

Date: April 19, 2005